Al-Ahkam: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum
Vol. 9, Nomor 2, 2024, pp. 75-90
e-ISSN: 2527-8150; p-ISSN: 2527-8169
DOI: https://doi.org/10.22515/alahkam.v9i2.8559

Judicial Oversight and the Role of the Comptroller General in Insolvency Disclosure: A Normative Analysis of the Jordanian Companies Act

Ahmad Boutosh[1*]

Ajloun National University
*Corresponding author's email: ahmad.boutosh@anu.edu.jo

Abstract

This study aims to clarify the legal framework and procedural steps required for the Comptroller General of Companies in Jordan to request the disclosure of insolvency applications, as well as the oversight conditions and judicial controls applied to these powers. Employing a normative legal research method, the study analyzes relevant legislative texts, focusing on Article 193 of the Jordanian Companies Act, to elucidate the comptroller's authority to enforce compliance and monitor companies' adherence to statutory obligations from the point of registration onward. The study's findings indicate that the comptroller derives explicit authority under Article 193 to submit insolvency notifications, highlighting an imperative for additional punitive measures against companies that obstruct the comptroller's oversight. This enhancement is essential for fortifying both preventative and punitive regulatory functions, thus promoting early identification of corporate insolvency risks and strengthening governance. The study implies that reinforcing the comptroller's oversight powers with stricter enforcement mechanisms would significantly improve regulatory efficacy, encouraging a more transparent and accountable corporate sector in Jordan.
Keywords: *judicial oversight, jordanian companies act, comptroller general, normative analysis*

Introduction

Regulatory authorities are fundamental in preserving the stability, transparency, and integrity of corporate environments. Among these authorities, the Companies Monitor (or Comptroller General of Companies) assumes a crucial role by enforcing legal and financial regulations that govern corporate conduct (Balas & Alsmadi, 2024). This role includes responsibilities akin to issuing a company's "birth certificate" at incorporation and a "death

| Submitted: January 18, 2024 | Accepted: September 10, 2024 | Published: November 14, 2024 |

certificate" upon insolvency (Alabady & Ghazaleh, 2024; Deb & Dube, 2021). In an era of heightened scrutiny over corporate governance, the function of the Companies Monitor has evolved to address not only the operational oversight of companies but also to safeguard stakeholders, including shareholders, creditors, and the general public, from the adverse effects of corporate failures (Alwahshat & Friehat, 2022; Singh, 2021). Increasing instances of corporate collapse, financial mismanagement, and insolvencies have elevated the importance of regulatory oversight, particularly in the context of protecting stakeholder rights and maintaining market stability (Aladwan & Tahtamouni, 2016).

The rise in corporate distress cases has triggered greater demand for robust legal mechanisms to preemptively identify and address financial instability within companies. The Companies Monitor’s authority to oversee and intervene in cases of corporate insolvency is critical to this preventative framework, especially in countries like Jordan where insolvency laws have been revised to adapt to the dynamic economic landscape (Abu-Karaki et al., 2011). In Jordan, the legislator has endowed the Companies Monitor with statutory powers to initiate insolvency applications on behalf of a company that appears unable to fulfill its financial obligations (Haddad et al., 2017; Shanikat & Abbadi, 2011). This role is based on Article 193 of the Jordanian Companies Act, which confers authority upon the Companies Monitor to assess and verify a company’s financial condition through detailed analysis and documentation (Albalawee & Fahoum, 2024). Should the company meet the legal criteria for insolvency, the Companies Monitor is empowered to submit an official application to the court seeking a formal declaration of insolvency.

In Jordan, both creditors and the Companies Monitor may petition for a company’s insolvency, but the Companies Monitor holds a distinctive position with additional responsibilities and oversight criteria (Alamayreh & Alhuneti, 2024; Al-Zwyalif, 2015). Given their role, the Companies Monitor must act not merely in a reactive capacity but as a proactive regulatory agent that prevents companies from descending into further financial distress (Shapira, 2020). However, while the Companies Monitor’s powers are extensive, they are bound by specific conditions and prerequisites for filing insolvency applications, which are designed to maintain a balance between regulatory authority and judicial oversight (Alshawabkeh & Shiyab, 2021). This research investigates the precise methodology employed by the Companies Monitor when filing insolvency applications, the requisite criteria that guide these decisions, and the scope of judicial oversight exercised over the Monitor’s powers in such cases.

The urgency of this research lies in its potential to clarify the Companies Monitor’s procedural role within Jordan’s legal framework and to explore how judicial oversight functions as a safeguard against possible overreach. By scrutinizing the procedures and conditions associated with insolvency applications, this research provides a detailed understanding of the Companies Monitor’s responsibility in corporate governance, particularly in a regulatory environment where creditor protections and market stability are paramount. Furthermore, the complexities of insolvency proceedings can impose significant challenges on creditors seeking repayment, especially in cases where corporate mismanagement or financial deterioration has compromised assets (Hu & Conrad, 2020). Therefore, it is essential to understand the nuances of Jordan's insolvency law, which grants the Companies Monitor substantial authority to uphold creditors' interests and ensure companies adhere to the legal requirements.

While prior studies on insolvency law have largely focused on creditor rights and corporate financial health (Franken, 2014; Gurrea-Martinez, 2021; Moustaira et al., 2019; Mucciarelli, 2013; Omar, 2016), limited research has addressed the specific procedural roles and powers of regulatory authorities such as the Companies Monitor in Jordan. This study fills a crucial research gap by providing a comprehensive analysis of the Companies Monitor's statutory powers and exploring the judicial mechanisms that monitor the exercise of these powers. Although there has been some international research on regulatory roles in insolvency proceedings, there is a lack of context-specific studies that reflect the Jordanian legislative environment and address the unique legal and procedural dynamics influencing the Companies Monitor's actions.

By employing a normative legal research approach, this study contributes a novel perspective on the dual oversight function of the Companies Monitor and the judiciary in Jordan's insolvency framework. This research method allows for an in-depth examination of relevant legal texts, including statutory provisions and interpretive case law, to clarify the legal boundaries and obligations that the Companies Monitor must observe. Through this approach, the study not only aims to define procedural and substantive requirements for insolvency filings but also seeks to highlight how judicial review operates as a vital counterbalance to the Monitor's authority, thereby reinforcing corporate governance and transparency within Jordan's regulatory landscape.

The purpose of this research is threefold: First, it seeks to delineate the procedural steps that the Companies Monitor follows in preparing and submitting an insolvency application. Second, it identifies the specific conditions that must be met to justify an insolvency filing, including financial thresholds, corporate compliance status, and other legal considerations. Third, the research examines the nature and extent of judicial oversight exercised over the Companies Monitor's insolvency applications, evaluating how this oversight functions to prevent misuse or misapplication of regulatory powers. The findings of this study are anticipated to have significant implications for legislative reforms aimed at enhancing corporate accountability and strengthening creditor protections within Jordan's insolvency regime.

Ultimately, this study contributes to the development of a more robust corporate governance model that prioritizes both proactive oversight and preventive measures. By clarifying the Companies Monitor's role and the procedural intricacies of insolvency filings, the study offers insights that can inform policymakers on the need for further regulatory refinement. Recommendations arising from this research emphasize the importance of empowering the Companies Monitor with greater enforcement capabilities, while simultaneously ensuring that judicial oversight remains an effective check on their powers. This balance is critical for creating a regulatory environment where insolvency procedures serve as both a protective mechanism for creditors and a stabilizing force for the corporate sector.

To sum up the introduction, this study addresses an urgent need within Jordanian corporate law to elucidate the framework guiding insolvency disclosures, enhance procedural transparency, and strengthen the regulatory and judicial oversight mechanisms that govern corporate financial stability. The insights gained from this research will inform future legislative developments aimed at fostering a more accountable and resilient corporate sector, ultimately contributing to Jordan's broader economic stability and investor confidence.

Methods

This study adopts a normative legal research design (Christiani, 2016; Taekema, 2018), focusing on the analysis and interpretation of legal texts, primarily the Jordanian Companies Act, with special emphasis on Article 193, which grants the Companies Monitor the authority to initiate insolvency applications. The aim is to investigate the procedural requirements and conditions under which the Companies Monitor can file for insolvency, as well as the judicial oversight mechanisms that regulate this process. The research explores the powers and responsibilities of the Companies Monitor in the context of insolvency law, assessing how these powers are implemented in practice, and evaluates the effectiveness of judicial oversight in ensuring proper application of the law.

The data for this research is primarily qualitative, derived from primary legal sources including the Jordanian Companies Act and relevant case law related to insolvency filings. Secondary sources include legal commentaries, academic articles, and reports from regulatory bodies, which offer additional context to the legal framework. The study also draws on court rulings and judicial interpretations to understand how the legal provisions are applied in real-world insolvency cases, providing practical insights into the judicial review of the Companies Monitor's actions. Interviews with legal professionals, such as judges and lawyers, may supplement the analysis, offering practical perspectives on the implementation of the legal provisions.

Data collection involves a thorough review of the relevant statutory texts, case law, and academic literature. The collected data will be analyzed using doctrinal analysis, which will focus on interpreting the statutory provisions and examining their practical implications. Additionally, the research will incorporate a comparative legal analysis to assess how the Jordanian insolvency system aligns with international standards and best practices. This approach aims to identify potential gaps or inefficiencies in the current framework, providing recommendations for legal reforms to enhance corporate governance and improve the effectiveness of insolvency procedures in Jordan.

Results and Discussion

The Concept of Insolvency Application and the Authority of the Companies Monitor to Submit It

The concept of insolvency and the authority of the Companies Monitor to initiate insolvency proceedings are critical for addressing the financial distress of companies in Jordan. According to Bu (2016), current bankruptcy provisions, largely outdated and misaligned with the country's economic and social dynamics, fall short in supporting debtors who face financial difficulties and in enabling effective restructuring mechanisms. This inadequacy stems from an inability to keep pace with global and local economic shifts, resulting in a system that fails to foster a business environment conducive to sustainable financial recovery (Westbrook et al., 2010). As such, there is a compelling need for a robust insolvency framework that considers these factors and provides a modernized approach to managing corporate financial distress, ideally through reorganization and debt restructuring. The enactment of an insolvency law, therefore, represents a significant step in creating a legal infrastructure that can help companies in distress avoid outright bankruptcy by restructuring operations, preserving value for creditors, and stabilizing market confidence.

The Jordanian Insolvency Law, specifically enacted to fill this gap, introduces structured procedures aimed at enabling companies to navigate financial difficulties effectively. This law is particularly relevant given the increasing pressures faced by large Jordanian corporations and commercial entities due to economic crises, fluctuating market conditions, and competition (Haddad et al., 2017). For these companies, insolvency law offers a framework that enables reorganization and recovery rather than solely liquidation, which is crucial for preserving jobs, maintaining economic stability, and safeguarding the interests of shareholders and creditors alike (Hendawi, 2013). By allowing for structured reorganization processes, the law opens a path for debt-ridden companies to regain solvency and, in turn, positively impacts the broader economy by retaining productive business entities within the market (Alharasis et al., 2022). However, the practical implementation of this law, especially concerning the role of the Companies Monitor in overseeing and initiating insolvency filings, remains a point of analysis and potential improvement, as these roles require careful calibration to balance intervention with allowing businesses a chance to reorganize autonomously.

The Companies Monitor holds an essential role in enforcing insolvency laws, as they are authorized to initiate insolvency applications on behalf of companies facing financial distress. This authority is granted under Article 5 of the Jordanian Insolvency Law, which sets out the procedural phases of insolvency in a structured manner: beginning with a preliminary stage following the court's declaration of insolvency, proceeding to a restructuring stage where companies work to reorganize their debts and operations, and ending with the liquidation stage if recovery efforts are deemed unsuccessful. These stages underscore the flexibility of the law in allowing for corporate recovery and reorganization where possible, which aligns with international best practices in insolvency proceedings. However, to be effective, the Companies Monitor's role must extend beyond mere procedural enforcement. It requires proactive assessment and decision-making to determine whether a company’s financial state genuinely necessitates insolvency filing and, if so, which stage or outcome might serve all parties’ best interests. This oversight role ensures that insolvency proceedings are not misused or prematurely imposed, preserving a company’s viability and creditors' rights.

Critically, the role of the Companies Monitor in Jordan aligns with broader international trends that favor early intervention mechanisms. In several countries, regulatory bodies with similar functions work to identify companies at risk and propose recovery strategies before insolvency proceedings formally begin. In contrast, the Jordanian Companies Monitor, while empowered to submit insolvency applications, may benefit from enhanced statutory guidelines or oversight mechanisms that help determine the appropriate timing and manner for initiating insolvency procedures. This additional regulatory clarity could prevent misuse of insolvency declarations and minimize unnecessary disruptions to companies that could otherwise recover through reorganization. Furthermore, there is a growing debate about whether the Companies

Monitor should have a more active role in providing advisory or supervisory support to struggling companies before formal insolvency processes begin, enabling them to benefit from early intervention rather than face the finality of liquidation proceedings.

The enactment of the Insolvency Law No. 21 of 2018 marked a substantial advancement in Jordan's corporate legal landscape, but its effectiveness depends largely on how actively and prudently the Companies Monitor exercises its authority (Alsharu & Darawsheh, 2023; Mo’taz Amin, 2013). Without clear procedural checks and guidelines, there is a risk of inconsistency in how insolvency applications are filed, potentially leading to judicial challenges or prolonged legal disputes that could complicate or delay the recovery process (Alshakhanbeh, 2022). Therefore, strengthening the regulatory framework governing the Companies Monitor’s powers in insolvency cases would enhance both the predictability and fairness of these proceedings. For example, implementing standardized assessment criteria or oversight requirements could ensure that the Monitor’s interventions are justified and align with the insolvency law's intended purpose of aiding financially distressed companies in restructuring rather than defaulting to liquidation.

In addition, there is a pressing need for Jordan to adopt measures that enhance transparency and efficiency in insolvency proceedings. These measures could include requiring regular reporting from the Companies Monitor, establishing clear performance benchmarks for corporate recovery, or even incorporating a third-party review process to ensure that each insolvency application is based on rigorous financial assessment (Alhrerat & Altarawneh, 2024). These steps could reinforce the Monitor's credibility and the law's reliability, instilling confidence in investors, creditors, and the broader business community. Further, by embedding comprehensive oversight and performance measures, the Companies Monitor could play a pivotal role in shaping an insolvency environment that not only addresses corporate distress but also contributes to a culture of financial responsibility and good corporate governance.

While the Companies Monitor’s role in initiating insolvency applications under Jordanian law represents a vital component of the insolvency framework, its potential impact depends heavily on the refinement and clarity of the procedural mechanisms supporting it. Ensuring that the Companies Monitor can carry out this role effectively and fairly is critical not only for individual companies but for the Jordanian economy as a whole. As Jordan continues to adapt its legal structures to modern economic realities, further strengthening the Companies Monitor's authority with clearly defined procedural standards, oversight, and transparency measures would greatly benefit the insolvency framework’s integrity and efficacy.

The Concept of Companies Monitor

The term "Companies Monitor," as defined in Article 2 of the Jordanian Insolvency Law, refers to the Companies Control Department, an independent national institution with significant responsibilities. The department operates under the jurisdiction of the Minister of Industry and Trade and is governed by the provisions of the amended Companies Law (Law No. 40 of 2002). This structure grants the Companies Control Department both financial and administrative autonomy, allowing it to operate with considerable independence from other governmental agencies. Established through Regulation No. 44 of 2003, the department aims to ensure efficient operations and transparency within the business environment by providing oversight and regulation to companies under its jurisdiction. This autonomy allows the department to effectively carry out its mandate without undue external interference, fostering an environment of regulatory compliance and corporate governance.

The Companies Control Department’s operational framework is rooted in Company Law No. 57 of 2006, which outlines its roles and responsibilities, including its authority to oversee companies from their registration to their operations. This framework ensures that companies comply with legal requirements and corporate governance standards throughout their existence. The role of the Companies Monitor is to ensure that companies are adhering to their legal obligations, as set out in the Companies Law, while also contributing to the overall regulatory health of the Jordanian economy. With this responsibility, the Companies Monitor functions as a watchdog, ensuring that companies operate within the bounds of the law, particularly during times of financial distress, such as insolvency. The Monitor's authority and tasks are not just limited to overseeing business operations but extend to directly influencing corporate stability through its involvement in insolvency proceedings and the protection of creditors' rights.

The head of the Companies Control Department, known as the Companies General Controller, is charged with overseeing the performance of companies within its jurisdiction. The responsibilities of the Companies General Controller are explicitly outlined in Article 193 of the Jordanian Companies Law, which provides a detailed account of the controller’s obligations. These include monitoring company operations, auditing financial accounts in line with accepted standards, assessing internal financial control systems, and verifying the legitimacy of a company’s obligations. The oversight also extends to reviewing the decisions made by the company’s board of directors and general assembly to ensure compliance with statutory requirements. Furthermore, the Companies General Controller is tasked with ensuring that the company’s financial management aligns with the principles of transparency, legality, and accountability. These duties are essential for maintaining the integrity of the corporate sector in Jordan and are particularly vital when dealing with distressed companies facing the risk of insolvency.

The role of the Companies General Controller becomes even more critical in the context of companies facing financial distress. When companies are unable to meet their financial obligations, they enter a phase of vulnerability where they risk insolvency. The Companies General Controller oversees companies in this precarious situation, often providing guidance on their reorganization and restructuring efforts. This oversight is vital to ensure that the distressed companies receive the necessary support to either reorganize and return to financial stability or to undergo liquidation in a manner that protects creditors and preserves value. A specialized unit within the Companies Control Department is tasked with specifically addressing cases of financial distress. This unit provides crucial support for distressed companies by facilitating management committees, promoting potential sales, and engaging in other activities designed to stabilize the company or prepare it for liquidation if recovery is not possible. This role emphasizes the proactive approach taken by the Companies Monitor in mitigating the risks associated with corporate insolvency and ensuring that financial distress is addressed promptly and fairly.

While the Companies General Controller's role in overseeing distressed companies is well-defined, there remains room for improvement in how these responsibilities are carried out. For instance, the effectiveness of the oversight provided by the Companies Monitor during insolvency proceedings could be enhanced by the introduction of more transparent and systematic procedures. As the department has the authority to monitor financial and legal aspects of a company's operations, it could benefit from more detailed guidelines on when and how intervention should occur in cases of insolvency (Qutieshat et al., 2024). Currently, there is a risk that companies may be subject to inconsistent oversight or delayed intervention, which could exacerbate their financial troubles (Orabi, 2023). Clear, standardized procedures for monitoring and intervening in the insolvency process would help to improve the efficiency and fairness of the insolvency system, ensuring that companies are given the best possible chance to recover, or if recovery is not feasible, that creditors are treated fairly in the liquidation process.

Moreover, the Companies Monitor's involvement in insolvency proceedings is not limited to mere oversight but extends to proactive engagement in resolving financial distress. The Monitor's role in facilitating corporate restructuring or reorganization efforts is crucial. However, this task requires a more robust framework for collaboration with other stakeholders, such as creditors, legal advisors, and external auditors, to ensure that insolvency proceedings are handled effectively. The companies' ability to recover from insolvency may depend significantly on the support and guidance provided by the Companies Monitor during the critical restructuring phase (Alhadidi & Rahamneh, 2024). Therefore, strengthening the department's capacity to oversee these processes, including providing expertise on restructuring plans, would greatly enhance its role in preserving business operations and preventing unnecessary liquidations.

Another area for improvement lies in the relationship between the Companies Monitor and the judiciary. Judicial oversight of the Companies Monitor's actions in insolvency cases is crucial to ensuring that the process is fair, transparent, and in line with the law. However, the effectiveness of this judicial oversight depends on the clarity of the legal framework and the degree of collaboration between the Monitor and the courts. A more integrated approach, where the Companies Monitor works closely with the judiciary to develop guidelines and benchmarks for insolvency proceedings, could help streamline the process and reduce delays (Balas & Alsmadi, 2024). This cooperation would ensure that insolvency applications are thoroughly examined before being submitted to the court, minimizing the risk of frivolous or unjustified insolvency filings.

In conclusion, the Companies Monitor plays a pivotal role in overseeing and regulating companies in Jordan, particularly those facing financial distress and insolvency. The Companies Control Department's authority to monitor company operations, ensure compliance with the law, and intervene in cases of financial distress is central to maintaining the stability of the corporate sector. However, to fully realize its potential, the department must adopt more comprehensive and transparent procedures for handling insolvency cases, improve its collaboration with other stakeholders, and strengthen its relationship with the judiciary. These steps would enhance the effectiveness of the Companies Monitor and contribute to a more robust and efficient insolvency framework in Jordan.

The Authority of the Company's General Controller to File Insolvency of Companies

The authority granted to the Companies General Controller in filing for a company's insolvency is a critical aspect of the Jordanian insolvency framework. The Controller's power to initiate insolvency proceedings stems from their ability to assess a company's financial condition and verify if it has reached a state of actual insolvency. The Companies General Controller can only file for insolvency under circumstances of "actual insolvency," meaning the company is genuinely unable to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. In such cases, the Controller is expected to thoroughly review the company's financial records, scrutinize its liabilities, and ascertain whether it meets the legal criteria for insolvency. This investigation is intended to provide an objective basis for initiating insolvency, ensuring that only those companies genuinely in financial distress are subjected to insolvency proceedings. This rigorous approach prevents misuse of the insolvency system and safeguards companies that may be experiencing only temporary liquidity issues rather than genuine insolvency.

Unlike the Companies General Controller, debtors are granted more flexibility under the Jordanian Insolvency Law. They can file for insolvency under two conditions: actual insolvency, as with the Controller, or "imminent insolvency." Imminent insolvency refers to a situation where the debtor anticipates a potential inability to meet financial obligations in the near future, even if they are currently solvent. This provision is significant as it allows debtors to proactively seek restructuring before their financial issues escalate into full insolvency, potentially providing them with a lifeline to reorganize and stabilize operations. The law's provision for imminent insolvency applications by debtors is intended to foster a preventive approach to financial distress, potentially enabling companies to avoid the harsher impacts of actual insolvency and liquidation. By prioritizing applications filed by debtors for imminent insolvency, the law implicitly acknowledges the benefits of early intervention in corporate restructuring, which can ultimately lead to better outcomes for creditors, employees, and other stakeholders.

The restriction that only debtors and the Companies General Controller can file for a company's insolvency emphasizes the exclusivity of this process under Jordanian law. The Jordanian Insolvency Law does not permit courts to initiate insolvency proceedings on their own authority, which contrasts with the commercial bankruptcy system that may allow courts such proactive rights. Instead, the law confines the initiation of insolvency proceedings to a limited group of parties explicitly named in Article 6: creditors, debtors, and the Companies General Controller. This restriction places the responsibility for initiating insolvency on parties directly affected by or responsible for the company's financial health. The Companies General Controller's role as a potential initiator of insolvency proceedings is thus one of responsibility and due diligence, rather than a right exercised at will. This restricted access aims to uphold the integrity of the insolvency process by ensuring that only stakeholders with legitimate concerns over a company's solvency status are permitted to initiate these legally and financially impactful proceedings.

The Jordanian Insolvency Law's prioritization of applications by debtors over those filed by the Companies General Controller underlines a distinct approach to insolvency that prioritizes the debtor's perspective. Articles 6 and 14 of the law give precedence to applications submitted by debtors, emphasizing the importance of voluntary restructuring and reorganization. This prioritization reflects an understanding that debtors are often best positioned to recognize and address their financial challenges in a manner that minimizes disruption to their operations and maximizes value preservation. By giving priority to debtor-filed applications, the law facilitates a smoother restructuring process, allowing companies to address their financial issues directly and perhaps avoid a drawn-out insolvency that could harm employees, creditors, and the economy as a whole. This prioritization of debtor applications represents a progressive view of insolvency that seeks to balance the needs of all stakeholders while focusing on preserving economic value and stability.

In sum, the Companies General Controller's authority to file for insolvency in Jordan reflects a nuanced balance between regulatory oversight and the need to protect companies from undue external pressures during financial difficulties. While the Controller has the authority to intervene in cases of actual insolvency, debtors are given the opportunity to proactively address their financial challenges through applications for imminent insolvency. This dual approach serves to prevent the misuse of insolvency proceedings and ensures that only genuinely distressed companies are subjected to such measures. Additionally, by limiting the ability to initiate insolvency proceedings to specific parties—namely, creditors, debtors, and the Companies General Controller—the law underscores the significance of accountability and legitimate interest in the company's financial status. This structured and cautious approach to insolvency filing in Jordan is designed to support economic stability and fairness, while also encouraging timely and proactive financial management by companies facing financial distress.

Limitation of the Authority of Companies General Controller to File Insolvency and Court's Supervision

The authority of the Companies General Controller to file insolvency on behalf of companies is intentionally limited under Jordanian law to prevent unnecessary or premature insolvency filings. This limitation reflects a deliberate legal choice aimed at balancing regulatory oversight with the autonomy and operational continuity of companies. The Controller's role is confined to filing for insolvency only when there is clear evidence of actual insolvency—that is, when a company is definitively unable to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. This restriction serves as a safeguard against overreach by the regulatory body, ensuring that companies experiencing short-term financial difficulties, which may be resolvable through internal restructuring or temporary financial support, are not forced prematurely into insolvency. Such limitations are crucial in providing companies the opportunity to explore alternative solutions to financial recovery before resorting to formal insolvency processes, thereby safeguarding employment, creditor interests, and overall market stability.

In addition to these procedural restrictions, the Jordanian Insolvency Law imposes a high threshold of court involvement and oversight throughout the insolvency process. Once the Companies General Controller files for insolvency, the court is tasked with conducting an independent review to assess the legitimacy and necessity of the application. This judicial oversight is vital in preventing the misuse of insolvency filings and ensuring that only companies truly incapable of financial recovery are subjected to this process. Courts in Jordan are required to rigorously scrutinize the documentation and financial evidence provided by the Controller to substantiate claims of insolvency. By mandating that the court engage in this level of review, the law effectively creates a check on the Controller's authority, limiting any potential overuse or misapplication of insolvency proceedings. This procedural safeguard not only strengthens the integrity of the insolvency system but also reassures companies and investors that insolvency proceedings will only be initiated in cases of demonstrable financial failure, thereby fostering a more stable business environment.

The requirement of court supervision also introduces an element of neutrality in the handling of insolvency applications. The judiciary, as an independent entity, serves as a mediator between the Companies General Controller and the company in question, ensuring that the insolvency process is carried out fairly and transparently (Alsharu et al., 2024). This neutrality is particularly significant in cases where the Controller's decision to file for insolvency may be disputed by the company or its stakeholders, who may argue that insolvency is avoidable or that the company's financial distress is temporary. In such instances, the court's involvement becomes a crucial mechanism for balancing perspectives, as it allows for a comprehensive examination of the company's financial condition, including input from both the Controller and the company (Al-Sarraf, 2018). This balanced approach minimizes the risk of unwarranted insolvency filings and upholds the principle of fairness by providing the company an opportunity to present its case before an independent authority.

Moreover, the limitations imposed on the Companies General Controller's authority to file for insolvency underscore a broader objective within Jordanian insolvency law: to encourage internal company recovery mechanisms and prevent the premature dissolution of businesses. By restricting the Controller's filing rights to instances of actual insolvency, the law implicitly encourages companies to proactively address financial difficulties before they escalate to this level. This restriction aligns with contemporary insolvency frameworks worldwide that increasingly emphasize restructuring and turnaround strategies as preferred alternatives to liquidation. The Jordanian approach reflects a recognition of the socio-economic importance of corporate continuity, particularly in an emerging market economy where large-scale company closures can have significant implications for employment, creditor stability, and investor confidence. As a result, the law's limitations on the Controller's authority to file insolvency serve as both a protective measure for companies and a broader economic policy instrument.

The court's supervisory role also includes overseeing the actual insolvency proceedings following an approved application. Once a company is declared insolvent, the court monitors the Controller's implementation of insolvency processes, including the restructuring or liquidation of the company's assets. This ongoing supervision ensures that the Controller's actions align with the best interests of creditors, employees, and other stakeholders. For example, the court may oversee asset valuations, creditor negotiations, and distribution plans to ensure equitable outcomes. This supervisory function acts as an additional safeguard to ensure that the insolvency process is not only lawfully executed but also just and transparent.

The court's involvement also enhances the credibility of the insolvency process, which is essential in maintaining public trust and confidence in the legal and financial systems.

However, while these limitations and supervisory requirements are essential for ensuring a fair and balanced insolvency process, they can also pose challenges in practice. The requirement for judicial oversight may result in procedural delays, which can be particularly detrimental for companies on the brink of insolvency, as time-sensitive financial decisions may be required to maximize asset value or maintain operations. The court's cautious approach, while necessary for fairness, may sometimes conflict with the need for expedited resolution in insolvency cases. Furthermore, the high threshold for proving actual insolvency may deter the Controller from filing even in cases where early intervention could potentially prevent further financial deterioration. As such, while the limitations on the Controller's authority and the court's supervisory role are intended to enhance the system's integrity, they may also inadvertently restrict the flexibility and responsiveness of the insolvency process.

In a nutshell, he limitations on the authority of the Companies General Controller to file for insolvency, coupled with the extensive court supervision, form a foundational aspect of Jordanian insolvency law that aims to balance regulatory oversight with corporate autonomy and market stability. These constraints help prevent premature or unnecessary insolvency filings, protect the interests of companies experiencing temporary financial issues, and promote fairness through judicial oversight. Although these measures uphold the integrity and transparency of the insolvency process, they also highlight a potential tension between procedural safeguards and the need for efficiency in handling financially distressed companies.

Judicial Supervision Over the Authority of Company Controller to Apply for the Insolvency of Companies

The concept of judicial supervision over the authority of the Companies General Controller to apply for the insolvency of companies is an essential component of the insolvency framework. Judicial oversight ensures that the Controller exercises their powers within the legal framework and safeguards the rights of all parties involved. In Jordan, judicial supervision is crucial in balancing the authority of the Companies General Controller with the rights of creditors, debtors, and other stakeholders. This supervision prevents misuse of authority by requiring the Controller to justify insolvency applications and demonstrate that they are based on accurate financial assessments. The judiciary plays a pivotal role in overseeing these applications, providing a necessary check on the Controller's discretion, thereby ensuring that insolvency procedures serve the interests of justice and economic stability.

When the Companies General Controller initiates an insolvency application, the court must evaluate whether the application aligns with legal standards and follows due process. The court examines the financial data submitted by the Controller, assessing whether the evidence genuinely indicates insolvency. This process is designed to prevent unnecessary or premature insolvency applications that could harm the company's reputation and disrupt its operations. By requiring judicial approval before an insolvency application can proceed, the law ensures that only companies that genuinely meet insolvency criteria are subjected to these proceedings. This judicial filter protects viable companies from wrongful insolvency declarations, thereby promoting economic stability and maintaining trust in the commercial sector.

Judicial supervision also establishes a framework for resolving disputes between the Companies General Controller, creditors, and debtors regarding insolvency applications. In some cases, creditors may disagree with the Controller's decision to apply for insolvency, especially if they believe that the company can still recover or that insolvency is not in their best interest. Similarly, a debtor may challenge the Controller’s assessment, arguing that the company is not actually insolvent or that other measures, such as debt restructuring, would be more appropriate. The court serves as a neutral arbitrator in these cases, examining the evidence and providing a fair judgment. This dispute resolution mechanism is fundamental to ensuring that the insolvency process is transparent, equitable, and based on sound financial grounds.

Furthermore, judicial supervision provides a safeguard against potential abuse of power by the Companies General Controller. The authority to file for insolvency is a significant power that, if unchecked, could be misused to target specific companies or individuals. Judicial oversight ensures that the Controller’s actions are transparent and accountable. By scrutinizing the Controller’s rationale for filing an insolvency application, the court ensures that the decision is not influenced by external pressures or conflicts of interest. This safeguard upholds the integrity of the insolvency process, reinforcing public confidence in the system and preventing arbitrary or unjust actions by regulatory authorities.

The court also plays a role in reviewing the procedural aspects of insolvency applications submitted by the Companies General Controller. This includes verifying that the application complies with all legal requirements and that the Controller has conducted a thorough investigation into the company’s financial status. The court’s scrutiny of these procedural details ensures that the Controller adheres to due process, reinforcing the legal standards that govern insolvency applications. By emphasizing procedural correctness, judicial supervision promotes consistency and fairness in insolvency cases, ensuring that all companies are treated equally under the law.

In addition, judicial supervision can lead to improvements in insolvency practices and policies. Through their oversight role, judges may identify patterns of issues or inefficiencies in the insolvency process that warrant legislative or procedural changes. For example, if the court repeatedly encounters poorly supported insolvency applications, this may indicate a need for stricter guidelines on financial assessments or additional training for the Companies General Controller. Judicial feedback can help policymakers refine insolvency laws, enhancing their effectiveness and responsiveness to the needs of the business community. Thus, judicial supervision not only protects individual companies but also contributes to the ongoing development of a robust and fair insolvency system.

Ultimately, judicial supervision over the authority of the Companies General Controller to apply for insolvency reflects a commitment to balancing regulatory authority with the principles of justice and economic stability. This balance is crucial in fostering a business environment where companies can operate with confidence, knowing that their rights will be protected. The judiciary’s role in overseeing insolvency applications ensures that the process is grounded in fairness, transparency, and accountability, which are essential for a stable and trustworthy commercial sector. By acting as a check on the Controller’s powers, judicial supervision promotes a fair and efficient insolvency system that supports both creditors’ rights and companies’ interests, thereby contributing to Jordan’s economic resilience and legal integrity.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study analyzed the legal powers granted to company controllers under Jordanian law, particularly focusing on their authority to initiate insolvency applications. The findings highlight that company controllers, as authorized individuals, can submit insolvency requests only in cases of actual insolvency and only for registered companies. Unlike the broader rights granted in Jordan’s Commercial Bankruptcy Law, insolvency proceedings under the Insolvency Law restrict initiation to debtors, creditors, and company controllers, with an explicit exclusion of potential insolvency cases. The study also emphasizes the critical role of the insolvency court in supervising these applications, ensuring adherence to procedural timelines, verifying the genuineness of the insolvency claim, and reviewing the completeness of the application. These findings underscore the company controller's vital function in enforcing compliance and promoting transparency within Jordan's corporate sector.

Despite these insights, the study acknowledges certain limitations. The current regulatory framework lacks specific requirements for companies to provide full cooperation to company controllers, including sharing all relevant correspondence with auditors. To address this gap, we recommend an amendment to the Jordanian Companies Law mandating this level of cooperation to ensure thorough oversight. Additionally, imposing stricter penalties for non-compliance would reinforce the preventive and punitive roles of company controllers, enhancing corporate governance and facilitating the early detection of insolvency risks. These recommendations aim to strengthen the controller's oversight capacity, ultimately supporting a more transparent, accountable, and resilient corporate environment in Jordan.

References

Abu-Karaki, M., Faqir, R. S., & Marashdah, M. A. K. (2011). Democracy & Judicial Controlling in Jordan: A Constitutional Study. *Journal of Politics and Law*, *4* (2), 180-195. https://doi.org/10.5539/jpl.v4n2p180

Alabady, H. S., & Ghazaleh, S. A. (2024). The Power of the Insolvency Agent of a Public Commercial Joint-Stock Company to Protect the Rights of Corporate Creditors. *Journal of Human Security*, *20*(1), 28-32. https://jhumansecurity.com/menuscript/index.php/jhe/article/view/181

Aladwan, A., & Tahtamouni, M. (2016). Corporate Governance Legislations in Jordan Comparative Study. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, *52*(3), 342-357.

Alamayreh, A. M., & Alhuneti, Y. (2024). The Control over Limited Liability Company Operations: A Comparative Study. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, *16*(3). https://www.pjcriminology.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/43-The-Control-over-Limited-Liability-Company.pdf

Albalawee, N., & Fahoum, A. A. (2024). A novel legal analysis of Jordanian corporate governance legislation in the age of artificial intelligence. *Cogent Business & Management*, *11*(1), 2297465. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2297465

Alhrerat, K., & Altarawneh, M. (2024). Introducing of insolvency crimes and the demise of bankruptcy crimes inJordanian Legislation: an Analytical Study. *Al-Balqa Journal for Research and Studies*, *27*(1), 92-114. https://doi.org/10.35875/1105.027.001.007

Alharasis, E. E., Haddad, H., Shehadeh, M., & Tarawneh, A. S. (2022). Abnormal monitoring costs charged for auditing fair value model: evidence from Jordanian finance industry. *Sustainability*, *14*(6), 3476. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063476

Al-Sarraf, A. (2018). The Wave of Insolvency Reform Across the MENA Region: Analysing Saudi Arabia's New Bankruptcy Law. *The MENA Business Law Review*.

Alshakhanbeh, K. A. (2022). Company Controller's Role as One Way to Rescue Companies under Jordanian's Insolvency Act 2018" Comparative Study". *Journal of Politics and Law*, *15 (1)*, 25-33. https://doi.org/10.5539/jpl.v15n1p25

Alshawabkeh, F. A., & Shiyab, T. M. (2021). Judicial oversight as a form of governance in the face of administration arbitrariness in administrative decisions. *Journal of Governance and Regulation/Volume*, *10*(4). 261-271. https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv10i4siart6

Alsharu, A. I., & Darawsheh, S. A. (2023). Insolvency Law toward Commercial Transactions in Jordan. *Journal of Law, Policy and Globalization*, *141*(1). 99-104. https://doi.org/10.7176/JLPG/141-10

Alwahshat, Z., & Friehat, M. (2022). The Legal Implications of the Judgment Declaring Insolvency in Accordance with the Jordanian Insolvency Law No. 21 of 2018. *Journal of Legal, Ethical and Regulatory Issues*, *25*, 4. https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/jnlolletl25&div=245&id=&page=

Al-Zwyalif, I. M. (2015). The role of internal control in enhancing corporate governance: Evidence from Jordan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, *10*(7), 57-66. http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v10n7p57

Balas, H., & Alsmadi, M. S. (2024). Powers of Comptrollers to Request Bankruptcy Declaration for Commercial Companies and Judicial Oversight. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, *3*(7), 2378-2386. https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i7.4386

Bu, Q. (2016). Corporate Governance, Accounting Procedures and Prevention of Insolvency. In *China's New Enterprise Bankruptcy Law* (pp. 43-69). Routledge.

Christiani, T. A. (2016). Normative and empirical research methods: Their usefulness and relevance in the study of law as an object. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *219*, 201-207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.05.006

Deb, S., & Dube, I. (2021). Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code 2016: revisiting with market reality. *International Journal of Law and Management*, *63*(1), 125-146. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-05-2020-0133

Gurrea-Martínez, A. (2021). Insolvency law in emerging markets. https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5602&context=sol_research

Franken, S. M. (2014). Cross-border insolvency law: A comparative institutional analysis. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, *34*(1), 97-131. https://www.jstor.org/stable/24562810

Haddad, A. E., Sbeiti, W. M., & Qasim, A. (2017). Accounting legislation, corporate governance codes and disclosure in Jordan: a review. *International Journal of Law and Management*, *59*(1), 147-176. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-07-2016-0064

Hendawi, R. D. M. (2013). *Assessment of corporate governance practices in Jordan: an empirical investigation* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Bradford).

Moustaira, E., Moustaira, & Reschke. (2019). *International Insolvency Law*. Springer International Publishing.

Mo'taz Amin, A. S. E. (2013). Compliance with the principles of corporate governance: different perspectives from Jordan. *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, *12*(4), 553-577. https://ideas.repec.org/a/ami/journl/v12y2013i4p553-577.html

Mucciarelli, F. M. (2013). Not just efficiency: insolvency law in the EU and its political dimension. *European Business Organization Law Review (EBOR)*, *14*(2), 175-200. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1566752912001127

Omar, P. (Ed.). (2016). *International insolvency law: Themes and perspectives*. Routledge.

Orabi, G. A. (2023). The regulation for the settlement of insolvency: analysis of the Federal Insolvency Law No. 19 of 2019. *International Journal of Economics and Business Research*, *26*(2), 201-223. https://ideas.repec.org/a/ids/ijecbr/v26y2023i2p201-223.html

Qutieshat, E., Hamad, A. S. A. B., & Al Adwan, M. (2024). The Adequacy of the Jordanian Regulations in Regulating the Operation of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises. *International Journal of Religion*, *5*(3), 89-101. https://doi.org/10.61707/vy7gz059

Shanikat, M., & Abbadi, S. S. (2011). Assessment of corporate governance in Jordan: An empirical study. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, *5*(3), 93-106. https://ro.uow.edu.au/aabfj/vol5/iss3/7/

Shapira, R. (2020). Corporate Law, Retooled: How Books and Records Revamped Judicial Oversight. *CARDozo L. REv.*, *42*, 1949.

Singh, V. K. (2021). Modern Corporate Insolvency Regime in India: A Review. *NLS Business Law Review*, 22. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3766210

Taekema, S. (2018). Theoretical and normative frameworks for legal research: Putting theory into practice. *Law and Method*. https://doi.org/10.5553/REM/.000031

Westbrook, L., Booth, C. D., Paulus, C. G., & Rajak, H. (2010). *A global view of business insolvency systems*. Washington, DC: World Bank and Brill.